28

1	Christian T. Balducci, Esq.		
2	Nevada Bar No. 12688		
	Tabetha J. Steinberg, Esq. Nevada Bar No. 16756		
3	MARQUIS AURBACH		
4			
5			
3			
6			
7	Email: tsteinberg@maclaw.com		
8	Maurice VerStandig		
o	Nevada Bar No. 15346		
9	THE VERSTANDING LAW FIRM, LLC		
10	1452 W. Horizon Ridge Pkwy, #665 Henderson, NV 89012		
11	Telephone: (301) 444-4600		
11	Email: mac@mbvesq.com		
ر 12	Motthow E. Foinborg (pro has vise)		
133 134 145 157 145 157 157 157 157 157 157 157 157 157 15	Matthew E. Feinberg (<i>pro hac vice</i>) Todd Reinecker (<i>pro hac vice</i>)		
2) 38	Mansitan Sow (pro hac vice)		
E 14	Matthew T. Healy (pro hac vice)		
£ 15	PILIEROMAZZA PLLC		
2 16	1001 G Street, NW, Suite 1100 Washington, D.C. 20001		
382-0 387-0	Washington, D.C. 20001 Telephone: (202) 857-1000		
ਿੰਗ 17	Email: mfeinberg@pilieromazza.com		
18	Email: treinecker@pilieromazza.com Email: msow@pilieromazza.com Email: mhealy@pilieromazza.com Attorneys for Plaintiff, VSolvit LLC		
19			
20	0.0		
21	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEVADA		
		i	
22	VSOLVIT, LLC, a Nevada limited liability company	Case Number 2:23-cv	
23	Company		
24	Plaintiff,	STIPULATION AN	
		AMEND THE BRII	
25	v.	FOR DEFENDANT DISMISS PLAINTI	
26	SOHUM SYSTEMS, LLC, and CREATIVE	COMPLAINT	
27	INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY, INC., a		
27	Maryland corporation	FIRST REQUEST	

Defendants

cv-00454-JAD-DJA

ND ORDER TO EFING SCHEDULE TS' MOTION TO TIFF'S AMENDED

Page 1 of 4

1

2

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

382-0711 FAX: (702) 382-5816

702) 17

Pursuant to Local Rules IA 6-1 and IA 6-2, Plaintiff, VSolvit, LLC ("Plaintiff" or "VSolvit"), by and through its counsel of record, Christian T. Balducci, Esq., of the law firm Marquis Aurbach, and Defendants, Sohum Systems, LLC ("Sohum"), and Creative Information Technology, Inc. ("CITI") (collectively "Defendants"), by and through their counsel of record, Thomas Brownell, Esq., of the law firm Holland & Knight and Robert McCoy, Esq., and Sihomara L. Graves, Esq., of the law firm Kaempfer Crowell, hereby stipulate and agree Plaintiff shall have up to and including October 18, 2024 to file an Opposition to Defendants' Motion to Dismiss Plaintiff's Amended Complaint. The present deadline for Plaintiffs to file their Opposition is October 11, 2024, and no hearing has been set regarding Defendants' Motion to Dismiss Plaintiff's Amended Complaint. This is the Parties' first stipulation for extension of time for Plaintiff to file their Opposition to Defendants' Motion to Dismiss Plaintiff's Amended Complaint.

In support of this Stipulation, the Parties state as follows:

- 1. On or about March 27, 2023, VSolvit commenced this action through the filing of its Complaint, alleging anticipatory breach of contract and breach of the implied covenant of good faith and fair dealing. ECF No. 1. VSolvit contemporaneously sought a temporary restraining order and preliminary injunction to prevent Defendants from competing against it for a federal government contract with the United States Department of Agriculture ("USDA"). ECF Nos. 3, 4.
 - 2. On or about April 21, 2023, Defendants answered the Complaint. ECF No. 29.
- 3. On or about May 9, 2023, the Court denied VSolvit's motions for temporary restraining order and preliminary injunction. ECF No. 33.
- 4. On or about June 13, 2023, the Parties jointly moved to stay the litigation pending the outcome of related bid protest proceedings initiated by Plaintiff in the Government Accountability Office ("GAO"), and that motion was granted. ECF Nos. 34, 35.
- 5. On or about August 1, 2024, the stay, which had been extended twice, ECF Nos. 35, 39, was lifted and the Parties submitted a joint status report, advising "this action may now proceed." ECF No. 41 at 3, ¶ 11.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

(702) 17

- 6. On or about August 30, 2024, the Parties filed a stipulation for leave to file an amended complaint, which was granted. ECF Nos. 42, 43.
- 7. On September 24, 2024, Plaintiff amended its Complaint (the "Amended Complaint"), alleging (1) breach of contract; (2) breach of the implied covenant of good faith and fair dealing; (3) misappropriation of trade secrets in violation of 18 U.S.C. §§ 1836, et seq.; and (4) misappropriation of trade secrets in violation of Nev. Rev. Stat. §§ 600A.101, et seq. ECF No. 44. Each count was asserted against Sohum and CITI. Id.
- 8. On September 27, 2024, Defendants moved to dismiss Counts I and II of the Amended Complaint ("the Motion"), specifically, VSolvit's breach of contract claim and VSolvit's breach of the implied covenant of good faith and fair dealing claim. ECF No. 45. Plaintiff's Opposition to the Motion is thus presently due October 11, 2024.
- 9. On or about October 10, 2024, the Parties, through counsel, discussed amending the briefing schedule for the Motion due to the pressing matter of other business. Specifically, it was agreed that Plaintiff's Opposition to the Motion shall be due on or before October 18, 2024 and that Defendants' Reply in Support of the Motion shall be due on or before November 1, 2024.
- 10. Based on the foregoing, the Parties respectfully request that the Court amend the briefing schedule for the Motion as follows:

Event	Due Date
Plaintiff's Opposition to	Friday, October 18, 2024
Defendants' Motion to	
Dismiss	
Defendants' Reply in Support	November 1, 2024
of their Motion to Dismiss	

11. No prejudice will result to either party in the event the briefing schedule for the Motion is amended as set forth in paragraph 10 herein.

///

HOLLAND & KNIGHT

/s/ Thomas Brownell

Thomas Brownell (pro hac vice) 1650 Tysons Blvd, Suite 1700 Tysons, VA 22102

Robert McCoy Nevada Bar No. 9121 Sihomara L. Graves Nevada Bar No. 13239 KAEMPFER CROWELL 1980 Festival Plaza Drive, Suite 650 Las Vegas, NV 89135

Attorneys for Sohum Systems, LLC and Creative Information Technology, Inc.

<u>ORDER</u>

IT IS SO ORDERED:

UNITED STATS DISTRICT JUDGE

Dated: